



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARK  
Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NUMBER	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED APPLICANT	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
1009698	12/05/2001	Pope Victoria	6395-61750

EXAMINER
----------

Khatol Shahnan-shah

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

1645

12

DATE MAILED:

### INTERVIEW SUMMARY

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

- (1) Khatol Shahnan-shah (3) Debra Gordon Regt 54128  
(2) Dr. Rodney Swartz (4) \_\_\_\_\_

Date of Interview 2/10/04

Type: ☒ Telephonic ☐ Televideo Conference ☐ Personal (copy is given to ☐ applicant ☐ applicant's representative).

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: ☐ Yes ☒ No If yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_\_

Agreement ☒ was reached. ☐ was not reached.

Claim(s) discussed: Pending claims 1-8, 11-17 and 20-27

Identification of prior art discussed: Yes Barnett et al. (4307074) and  
Yabusaki et al. (4738932)

Description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Attorney Gordon explained the invention which is a composition and a method based on known Test UPRC with unexpected results. The inventor has used synthetic cardiolipin and Lecithin to improve the problem with naturally occurring cardiolipin and lecithin used in the art.  
The applicants will submit a declaration and further explanation to argue the  
(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments, if available, which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments which would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)  
The examiner will consider the new arguments. obvious rejection.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview.

Unless the paragraph above has been checked to indicate to the contrary, A FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION IS NOT WAIVED AND MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW.

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an attachment to another form.

2/10/04

Kubel

Rodney Swartz

RODNEY P SWARTZ, PH.D  
PRIMARY EXAMINER